The (Zeta) Effect of Tin

Joseph B. Lambert,*,¹ Lourdes A. Salvador, and Charlotte L. Stern

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evamton, Illinois 60208

Receiued *April* **27,1993**

cis,tras,cis-6-(Trimethylstannyl)-2-decalyl tosylate (5-OTs) was prepared in order to test whether tin can assist in the formation of a carbocation over *six* bonds (a (effect). This system **has** the requisite diequatorial (zigzag) arrangement of nucleofuge and electrofuge to give rise to triple hyperconjugation. Comparisons were made with the axial-equatorial trans,trans,cis isomer (6-OTs) and with the analogous equatorial and axial decalin systems lacking the tin group **(7-OTs** and 8-OTs). The diequatorial system 5-OTs did not show a rate acceleration in comparison with the models, and its rate was sensitive to solvent nucleophilicity. Products for both **5** and **6** were substitution with inversion and elimination. These observations indicate that tin does not assist in positive charge development over six bonds.

The group IV **(14)** elements below carbon exhibit a remarkable ability to stabilize positive charge on adjacent carbon atoms through hyperconjugation $(\sigma-\pi$ conjugation). The β effect of silicon² is probably the best known example of this phenomenon, although the β effects of germanium and, particularly, tin are even larger.3 Structure **1** illustrates this phenomenon for tin. The gamma effects of

silicon and tin are considerably weaker than their respective β effects,^{2,4-7} as they are based on homohyperconjugation (2). Again the γ effect is stronger for tin, which is

more electropositive and more polarizable than silicon and hence is better able to stabilize positive charge through the mechanisms illustrated by **1** and **2.**

 $A \delta$ effect would involve delocalization over four bonds, **as** illustrated by 3, and **has** been attributed to double or second-sphere hyperconjugation. Modest δ effects of

silicon and germanium have been documented,⁸ but much larger effects have been demonstrated when tin is the donor atom. $5,8,9$

If the concept of σ delocalization through multiple hyperconjugation is carried to the next stages, one could imagine double homohyperconjugation over five bonds (an **e** effect) or triple hyperconjugation over *six* bonds (a ζ (zeta) effect). In an attempt to define the limits of σ delocalization, we have explored the possible existence of triple hyperconjugation wing tin **as** the donor atom, **as** its effects have been observed to be larger than those of silicon or germanium for **all** comparable cases studied. To optimize the effect, a structure was needed that contains a series of antiperiplanar (zigzag) interactions over six bonds, as in 4. For the cases of the β effect of silicon² and

the δ effect of tin, 8.9 elimination of conformational mobility about the intervening bonds heightened the interaction, possibly both through a more favorable conformer population and through tighter geometries. Consequently, we selected the rigid decalin framework for our study of charge delocalization over six bonds **(4).** The optimal, zigzag geometry is found in the trans system **5.** Useful comparisons are the analogous cis geometry 6 and the

decalin rings with similarly disposed leaving groups but lacking tin **(7,8).** We have prepared these four systems

0022-3263/93/1958-5428\$04.00/0 *63* **1993** American Chemical Society

⁽¹⁾ This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CHE-8910841).

⁽²⁾ Lambert, J. B. Tetrahedron 1990,46,2677-2689. (3) Lambert, J. B.; Wang, G.-t.; Teramura, D. H. *J.* **Org.** *Chem.* **1988, 53,5422-6428.**

⁽⁴⁾ Shiner, V. J., Jr.; Eneinger, M. W.; Rutkoweke, R. D. *J.* **Am.** *Chem.* **SOC. 1987,109,804-809. Grob, C. A.; Grhdel, M.; Sawlewicz, P.** *Helu.*

Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 1502–1507. Bentley, T. W.; Kirmse, W.; Llewellyn,
G.; Söllenböhmer, F. J. *Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 1536–1540.
... (5) Lambert, J. B.; Salvador, L. A.; So, J.-H. *Organometallics* 1993, 12, (5) Lambert, J. B.; Salvador, L. A.; So, J.-H. Organometallics 1993, 12, 697–703.

⁽⁶⁾ Davis, D. D.; Black, R. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1974, 82, C30-C34.

⁽⁷⁾ Fischer, W.; Grob, C. A. *Helu. Chim.* **Acta 1978, 61, 1588-1608.**

⁽⁸⁾ Adcock, W.; Krstic, A. R.; Duggan, P. J.; Shiner, V. J., Jr.; Coope, J.; Ensinger, M. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3140-3145. Adcock, W.; Coope, J.; Shiner, V. J., Jr.; Trout, N. A. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1411-1412

⁽⁹⁾ Lambert, J. B.; So, J.-H.; Salvador, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31,3841-3844.

 α (a) Morpholine, toluene, reflux; (b) MVK, dioxane; (c) H₂O; (d) cat. pyrrolidine, benzene, reflux; (e) Li, NH3, -78 °C; (f) column chromatography; (g) recrystallization; (h) LiAlH₄; (i) KBH(sec-Bu)₃.

and report herein on a search for triple hyperconjugation through a ζ effect of tin.

Results

A synthesis of 5, the test substrate for a ζ effect of tin. had to control placement of two equatorial groups within a trans-fused decalin ring. The trans fusion can be achieved through dissolved metal reduction of $\Delta^{1,9}$ -2octalone,¹⁰ and suitable hydride reduction of that ketone should be able to lead to either an equatorial (5) or an axial (6) alcohol. The unsaturated decalone in turn can be produced by an aldol-type condensation. This strategy led to the successful synthesis of the alcohol derivatives for 5 and 6, as illustrated in Scheme I.

The starting material, 4-(trimethylstannyl)cyclohexanone, was available from our previous study of the δ effect of tin.^{5,9} Enamine alkylation was chosen over basecatalyzed alkylation to avoid cleavage of the C-Sn bond. The morpholino enamine of cyclohexanone was known to react with methyl vinyl ketone, and the resulting alkylation product spontaneously cyclizes.¹¹ The stannyl diketone of Scheme I yielded under similar conditions only partial cyclization, so that an additional basic step was necessary to complete ring closure. The decalone then was converted by reduction with lithium aluminum hydride to the cis,trans, cisisomer ("cis" and "trans" express the relationship between the tertiary ring hydrogens), which we will call the *trans-alcohol*, 5-OH. Reduction with potassium trisec-butyl borohydride yielded the trans, trans, cis isomer (the enumeration begins with the hydrogen geminal to tosylate), which we will refer to as the cis-alcohol, 6-OH.

The two isomers were easily distinguished by the resonance of the proton geminal to hydroxyl. The axial resonance for the equatorial alcohol appears at higher field than the equatorial resonance for the axial alcohol (δ 3.57 vs 4.07). Splitting of the axial proton by two adjacent axial protons is clearly visible as a triplet of quartets for the equatorial alcohol, whereas the resonance of the equatorial proton in the axial alcohol is a broad singlet.

Table I. Rate Measurements

system	geometry of OTs	solventº	temp, ۰c	72b	rate, s^{-1}
5-OTs	equatorial	97% TFE	75.0	0.9999	4.23×10^{-4}
			50.0	0.9999	2.90×10^{-5}
			25.0		1.26×10^{-6}
		80% TFE	75.0	0.9999	6.42×10^{-4}
		60% TFE	75.0	0.9999	1.24×10^{-3}
		80% EtOH	75.0	0.9999	1.09×10^{-4}
		70% EtOH	75.0	0.9999	1.30×10^{-4}
		60% EtOH	75.0	0.9993	2.44×10^{-4}
6-OTs	axial	97% TFE	75.0	0.9998	1.04×10^{-3}
			50.0	0.9999	8.40×10^{-5}
			25.0		4.41×10^{-6}
		80% TFE	75.0	0.9999	2.28×10^{-3}
		70% TFE	75.0	0.9999	3.70×10^{-3}
		90% EtOH	75.0	0.9999	2.22×10^{-4}
		80% EtOH	75.0	0.9999	5.28×10^{-4}
		70% EtOH	75.0	0.9999	6.30×10^{-4}
$7-0Ts$	equatorial	97% TFE	75.0	0.9999	2.82×10^{-4}
$8-0TB$	axial	97% TFE	75.0	0.9996	7.97×10^{-4}

"TFE in trifluoroethanol (w/w%); ethanol values are $v/v\%$. ^b Square of correlation coefficient; mean for two runs. c Extrapolated from values at higher temperatures.

Assignment of the carbon resonances was facilitated by the tin satellites. The large ${}^{1}J(117,119\text{Sn}^{-1}\text{H})$ coupling gives rise to a pair of peaks on either side of the resonance of C6 (see Scheme I for the substituent-independent decalin numbering system), separated by some 395 Hz. Carbons 5 and 7 are geminal to tin and give a ^{2}J of only 15-20 Hz, leading to tin satellites barely separated from the base of the centerband. Carbons 8 and 10 are vicinal to tin and give a ${}^{3}J$ of about 60 Hz, leading to satellites clearly separated from but close to the centerbands. The attached proton test distinguished the four methinyl carbons (2, 6, 9, 10) from the six methylene carbons $(1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8)$. Known chemical shift trends in the nonstannylated trans-2-decalols were used to assign the remaining carbons.

As there was no certainty that the ring juncture was trans, we had recourse to X-ray crystallography of 5-OTs to confirm the structure. The deductions from the NMR spectra were confirmed.

The alcohols were converted by the Tipson procedure to the tosylates for conductometric measurements of rates.¹² This leaving group was chosen because it has good ionizing properties and because for these systems the rates were measurable in a convenient temperature range. Rates were determined in aqueous mixtures of ethanol and trifluoroethanol (TFE) (Table I). From the variation of the rates with temperature, the following activation parameters were calculated: for the trans system (5-OTs) $\Delta H^* = 23.4$ kcal mol⁻¹, $\Delta S^* = -7.1$ eu, $\Delta G^* = 25.5$ kcal mol⁻¹; for the cis system (6-OTs) $\Delta H^* = 21.9$ kcal mol⁻¹, $\Delta S^* = -9.5$ eu, $\Delta G^* = 24.7$ kcal mol⁻¹.

Products were determined in 80% ethanol at 75 °C. For the trans-tosylate (5-OTs, with equatorial OTs) in ethanol there were 56% alkenes and 40% inverted substitution product. The substitution component consisted of 24% trans,trans,cis-6-(trimethylstannyl)-2-decalol (6-OH, with axial OH) and 16% ethyl trans, trans, cis-6-(trimethylstannyl)-2-decalyl ether (6-OEt, with axial OEt). The NMR spectra identified the alkene products to be 6-(trimethylstannyl)-trans- $\Delta^{2,3}$ -octalin (37%) and 6-(trimethylstannyl)-trans- $\Delta^{1,2}$ -octalin (19%). For the cistosylate (6-OTs, with the axial OTs), the products in ethanol consisted of 42% of 6-(trimethylstannyl)-trans-

⁽¹⁰⁾ House, H. O.; Giese, R. W.; Kronberger, K.; Kaplan, J. P.; Simeone, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 2800-2810. Caine, D. In Organic Reactions, Dauben, W. G., Ed.; Wiley: New York; Vol. 23, Chapter 1, pp 1–258

⁽¹¹⁾ Monson, R. S. Advanced Organic Synthesis, Methods and Techniques; Academic: New York, 1971; Chapter 9.

⁽¹²⁾ Tipson, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 1944, 9, 235-241.

 $\Delta^{2,3}$ -octalin, 22% of 6-(trimethylstannyl)-trans- $\Delta^{1,2}$ -octalin, 8% of the inverted *cis,trans,cis-*6-(trimethylstannyl)-2-decal01 (6-OH, with equatorial OH), and **6%** of the inverted ethyl *cis,* **trans,cis-6-(trimethylstannyl)-2-decalyl** ether (5-OEt, with equatorial OEt).

Discussion

In contrast to their β , γ , and δ counterparts, the ζ effect systems do not exhibit rate enhancements. We compared the ζ -tin equatorial system, 5-OTs, which has the proper geometry for triple hyperconjugative overlap, with the analogous tin-free decalin system 7-OTs. The **6/7** rate ratio is 1.5 in **97%** TFE at **75 OC, as** there is little or no acceleration by tin. The ζ -tin axial system 6-OTs, which has a skew geometry between Sn and OTs that would provide poor orbital overlap, **has** essentially the same ratio in comparison with the tin-free axial decalin tosylate, 618 $= 1.3$. The trimethyltin group may impart a small geometry change in the ground state that is responsible for the slight acceleration in both systems. Within the pair of tin-containing substrates, triple hyperconjugation in **6** should impart a rate acceleration with respect to 6, but in reality **6** solvolyzes more slowly than 6 in **97** % TFE at $75 °C$, $6/5 = 2.4$. This ratio is typical for a comparison of structurally analogous axial and equatorial systems. 13 The slightly faster rate of the axial isomer is usually attributed to relief of steric strain or to more favorable solvation. Thus the trimethyltin group has no significant influence on the rate of departure of the *5* tosylate.

We have found that variation of solvent ionizing power and nucleophilicity sometimes can detect incipient orbital overlap even in the absence of a clear rate acceleration.¹⁴ Consequently, we measured the rates of 6-OTs and 6-OTs in the range of solvents listed in Table I. In TFE mixtures, increasing the water concentration produces an increase in nucleophilicity without palpably changing the ionizing power. In ethanol mixtures, increasing the water concentration produces an increase in ionizing power with little change in nucleophilicity. When the reaction rates in these solvent mixtures are plotted vs thoee of l-adamantyl bromide, which reacts via a carbocation intermediate (a *k,* mechanism), a single straight line is obtained for another *k,* substrate.16 Molecules that react viasolvent intervention $(k_s,$ which includes S_N2 , E2, or other mechanisms that have a molecule of solvent in the transition state) produce two distinct lines for the TFE and ethanol data when plotted vs 1-adamantyl bromide.¹⁵ In cases with a change in mechanism between TFE and ethanol, a single curved line can be obtained.

The Raber-Harris plots for 6-OTs and 6-OTs are nearly identical, and are best described **as** containing two lines (Figures **1** and **2).** For the axial isomer 6-OTs (Figure **21,** the ethanol line has a slope of 0.26 $(r^2 = 0.99)$ and the TFE line has a slope of 2.03 $(r^2 = 0.98)$. The r^2 for a single line encompassing **all** points would drop to0.87. The difference in the slopes of the two separate lines indicates high

(14) Lambert, J. B.; Ehblidge, R. W., unpublished resulta. (16) Raber, D. J.; Neal, W. C., Jr.; Dukes, M. D.; Harris, J. M.; Mount, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 8137–8146. Harris, J. M.; Mount, D.
L.; Smith, M. R.; Neal, W. C., Jr.; Dukes, M. D.; Raber, D. J. J. Am. Chem. **SOC. 1978,100,8147-8156.**

Figure 1. Raber-Harris plot for *cis,trans,cis-*6-(trimethylstan**ny1)-Bdecalyl tosylate (S-OTs) at 75 'C.**

Figure 2. Raber-Harris plot for *trans,trans,cis-6-*(trimethylstannyl)-2-decalyl tosylate (6-OTs) at 75 °C.

sensitivity to nucleophilicity and low sensitivity to ionizing power. There are slight changes for the equatorial isomer 5 -OTs (Figure 1). The ethanol line has a slope of 0.31 (r^2 $= 0.95$) and the TFE line a slope of 1.22 ($r^2 = 0.99$), and the combined r^2 is 0.93. The rate is more sensitive to nucleophilicity than to ionizing power, but the sensitivity to nucleophilicity has clearly dropped in comparison with 6-OTs. Thus there is a hint, but only a hint, of triple conjugation in the trifluoroethanol solvolysis of **6-OTs.**

Product analysis in 80 % ethanol was entirely consistent with a solvent assistance (k_s) mechanism, as the predominant products for both S-OTs and 6-OTs come from substitution with inversion and elimination. We particularly looked for 4-vinyl-1,7-octadiene **(9)** in the product mixtures from **all** solvents, **as** this is the structure that

resembles the triple hyperconjugation valence structure **(10).** In the cases of the **y** and *6* systems, fragmentation products were observed that resembled the canonical structures representing homohyperconjugation and double

⁽¹³⁾ Fessenden, R. J.; Seeler, K.; Dagani, M. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 2483–2487. Shiner, V. J., Jr.; Jewett, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 1382–1383 and 1383–1384. Moritani, I.; Nishida, S.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. S

⁽¹⁶⁾ Corey, E. J.; Suggs, J. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1971, 2647-2650.**

hyperconjugation, respectively. We found no trace of 4-vinyl-1,7-octadiene in the ζ systems.

Conclusions

The presence of a trimethylstannyl group ζ to tosylate along a zigzag pathway of C-C bonds does not enhance the rate of departure of the leaving group **(5-OTs),** in comparison with systems that lack tin (7-OTs and **8-OTs)** or with one with tin in a nonzigzag pathway (6-OTs). Moreover, the rate of the zigzag system is sensitive to solvent nucleophilicity and is poorly sensitive to ionizing power, although to a slightly smaller extent than is the nonzigzag system. The products in both cases in aqueous ethanol are primarily substitution with inversion and elimination. We conclude that over six bonds, even when held in the most favorable steric orientation by the rigid trans-decalin skeleton, the tin atom has litle or no ability under these conditions to accelerate formation of a carbocation. The ability of tin to stabilize aremote positive charge has reached its limit.

Experimental Section

4-(Trimethylstannyl)cyclohexanone. According to the procedure of Corey and Suggs,¹⁶ 4-(trimethylstannyl)cyclohexanol⁵ (40.13 g, 0.153 mol) in 60 mL of freshly distilled CH₂Cl₂ was added in one portion with vigorous stirring to a mixture of excess pyridinium chlorochromate (49.67 g, 0.230 mol, 1.5 equiv) in 300 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 4 hand then was allowed to stand overnight. Diethyl ether (300 mL) was added, and the liquid was decanted from the black, tarry residue. This process was repeated three times with 100 mL portions of ether. Vacuum filtration of the ethereal extracts through a mat of Florisil gave a clear green solution, which became a yellow oil with a green precipitate upon evaporation of solvent. The crude product was filtered, and the precipitate was rinsed with hexane to ensure full recovery of the oil. Hexane was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude oil was distilled under reduced pressure to give 35.67 g of a colorless oil (bp 78-85 "C (0.2 mmHg)), which solidified on cooling. Recrystallization from hexane gave 28.29 g (72%, mp 38-39 "C) of white crystals: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.05 (s, 9H (² J_{SnH} = 52.0, 50.0 Hz), Me₃Sn), 1.42 (toft, lH, SnCH), 1.71 (q of d, 2H, ring), 2.15-2.35 (m, 4H, ring), 2.35-2.45 (m, 2H, ring); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ -11.46 (Me₃-Sn, $^{1}J_{\text{SnC}} = 304$ Hz), 23.39 (C4), 31.96 (C3), 44.37 (C2, $^{3}J = 63.3$ Hz), 212.26 (C1); ^{119}Sn NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.43.

l-Morpholino-4-(trimethylstannyl)cyclohexene. The procedure was derived from the preparation of l-morpholino-lcyclohexene.ll A solution of **4-(trimethylstannyl)cyclohexanone** (6.52 g, 0.025 mol), morpholine (2.7 mL, 0.03 mol), and about 0.02 g of tosic acid monohydrate in 30 mL of toluene was heated to boiling for 24 h in a round-bottomed flask equipped with a water separator and a reflux condenser. Toluene was removed by distillation at atmospheric pressure. Further distillation under reduced pressure gave the enamine as a colorless oil (6.47 g, MesSn), 1.35 (m, lH, SnCH), 1.68 (m, lH, ring), 1.9-2.0 (m, 3H, ring), 2.15 (m, lH, ring), 2.28 (m, lH, ring), 2.73 (nonet, 4H, NCH), 3.68 (t, 4H, OCH), 4.68 (m, 1H, alkenic); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ -11.36 (SnMe₃, ¹J_{SnC} = 307.2, 293.8 Hz), 20.96 (C4, ¹J_{SnC} 401.8, 384.2 Hz), 27.28 (C5, ${}^{2}J_{\text{SnC}} = 12.5$ Hz), 27.94 (C6, ${}^{3}J_{\text{SnC}} = 53.1$ Hz), 28.65 (C3, ${}^{2}J_{\text{SnC}} = 9.2$ Hz), 48.33 (CN), 66.93 (CO), 53.1 Hz), 28.65 (C3, $^{2}J_{\text{SnC}} = 9.2$ Hz), 48.33 (CN), 66.93 (CO), 101.62 (C2, $^{3}J_{\text{SnC}} = 48.3$ Hz), 145.34 (C1). 78%): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ -0.02 (s, 9H (² J_{SnH} = 49.2 Hz, 51.6 Hz),

6-(Trimethylstannyl)-A*~-2-octalone. l-Morpholino-4-(tri**methylstanny1)cyclohexene** (6.03 g, 0.018 mol) was refluxed with freshly distilled methyl vinyl ketone (1.70 mL, 0.020 mol) in 19

mL of anhydrous 1,4-dioxane for 6.5 h. Water (30 **mL)** was added and the mixture was refluxed for 15 h. The reaction was then diluted with 30 **mL** of water, saturated with NaCl, and extracted with 3 **x** 75 **mL** of diethyl ether. The organic layer **was** washed successively with 10 **mL** of 3 N HCl and 2 **X** *60* **mL** of saturated aqueous NaCl. Drying over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and removal of solvent by rotary evaporation gave a mixture (6.65 g) that contained 37 % of **2-(3-oxobutyl)-4-(trimethylstannyl)cyclohex**anone and 15% of 6-(trimethylstannyl)- $\Delta^{1,9}$ -2-octalone by GC. To complete the ring closure,¹⁷ the mixture was refluxed with 0.15 mL of pyrrolidine in 9 **mL** of benzene for 12 h in a Dean-Stark apparatus. More pyrrolidine (0.05 **mL)** and benzene (8 **mL)** were added, and the mixture was heated for 2 days. GC analysis indicated that the last heating step did not improve the composition of products. Benzene was distilled from the reaction mixture at atmospheric pressure. The residue was distilled under reduced pressure to give **a** yellow oil (4.40 g, bp 120-138 "C (0.2- (0.3 mmHz) , which contained 60% of 6-(trimethylstannyl)- $\Delta^{1.9}$ -2-octalone **as** the major component. The octalone was identified from the signals at δ 0.02 (SnMe₃) and 5.78 (alkenic) in the ¹H NMR spectra. This crude material was used directly in the next step.

trans,cisc6-(Trimethylstannyl)-2-decalone. The procedure of House et al.¹⁰ was adapted for the Li-NH₃ reduction of **6-(trimethylstannyl)-A1#-2-octalone.** The solution of crude *oc*talone (4.39 g, 60% compound) in 16 mL of anhydrous ether with 1.45 mL of anhydrous tert-butyl alcohol was added to a solution of excess Li (0.40 g, **0.056** mol) in about 100 **mL** of liquid NHs at -78 °C under N₂. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and then quenched with solid NH₄Cl. After evaporation of NH₃, 60 mL of ether and 60 mL of water were added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 2 **X** 60 mL of ether. The organic layer was washed with 4 **X** 20 mL of saturated aqueous NaCl and dried over anhydrous NazSO4 and MgSO4. Removal of solvent by rotary evaporation gave a yellow-brown oil (3.37 g), which contained 45% of **6-(trimethylstannyl)-tram-**2-decalone **as** the major component. A different sample of crude octalone (3.39 g, 41% compound) prepared from 0.0183 mol of enamine was reduced similarly with a stirring time of 15 min before quenching to obtain a crude product (3.08 g) that contained 41 % of the decalone. The crude product **also** contained unreacted octalone and the decalols from reduction of the decalone. Flash column chromatography of 2.00 g of the crude decalone in 200 g of alumina with $1/1$ hexane-CHCl₃ gave an enriched sample (0.82 g, 80 % by GC). Fractions were monitored by TLC on silica gel and analyzed by **lH** NMR. The **final** step of purification was recrystallization from pentane. The crude decalone (2.54g) from several chromatographic separations was dissolved in about 8 mL of pentane at room temperature. The solution was cooled successively at -25, -45, and -75 **"C.** After the mother liquor was decanted, the solids were rinsed several times with cold pentane and then dried with a stream of N_2 . Pure trans,cis-6-(trimethylstannyl)-2-decalone was obtained **as** a white powder (1.03 g, 9% overall from the enamine): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ -0.01 (s, 9H *(2J* = 51.2,49.6 Hz), MesSn), 1.0-1.4 (m, 7H, SnCH and ring), 1.65-1.72 (dq, lH, ring), 1.8-1.95 (m, 3H, ring), 2.05 (m, lH, ring), 2.23-2.40 (m, 3H, ring); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ -11.93 (Me₃- $\text{Sn}, \frac{1}{3}\text{SnC} = 309.6, 295.7 \text{ Hz}$, 24.17 (C6, $\frac{1}{3} = 397, 379.5 \text{ Hz}$), 29.95 (C7,25= **12.9Hz),33.48(C4),36.38(C8,*J=67.1Hz),37.00(C5,** $^{2}J = 12.8$ Hz), 41.70 (C3), 43.44 (C9), 43.88 (C10, ³ $J = 64.1$ Hz), 48.79 (C1), 211.66 (C2); ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.16.

cis,trans,cis-6-(Trimethylstannyl)-2-decalol (5-OH). trans,cis-6-(Trimethylstannyl)-2-decalone (1.03 g, 3.27 mmol) in 6 mL of anhydrous diethyl ether was added *to* a slurry'of LiAlH₄ (0.07 g, 2 mmol) in 4 mL of ether in an ice bath under N2 and **was** stirred for **1** h. The reaction was quenched with 5 mL of H2O and was treated with aqueous HCl until the precipitates disappeared. Ether *(6* mL) was added, and thelayers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 2×15 mL of ether. The organic layers were combined and dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. Removal of solvent by rotary evaporation gave a white powder (1.00 g, **96%),** which by 1H NMR was a

⁽¹⁷⁾F&machandran, S.; Newman, M. S. In *Organic Synthesb;* **Baumgarten, H. E., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1973; Collect. Vol. V, pp 486-489.**

mixture of 87 % equatorial and 13% **axial** alcohols. The isomeric alcohols were separated by flash column chromatography on 200 g of alumina with a mixture of 70 % hexane and 30 *5%* ethyl acetate **as** eluant. Fractions were monitored by TLC on **silica** gel and analyzed by ¹H NMR. The axial alcohol eluted first and was obtained as a white powder $(0.10g, 10\%)$. Pure equatorial alcohol was recovered from the later fractions **as** fine, white needles (0.64 g, 62%): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ -0.033 (s, 9H (²J = 51.6, 49.2 Hz), Me&), 0.8-1.35 (m, 9H, SnCH and ring), 1.41 (d, lH, OH), 1.55-2.0 (m, 6H, ring), 3.57 (t of q, 1H, CHO); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ -11.97 (Me₃Sn, ¹J_{SnC} = 306.0, 292.0 Hz), 24.72 (C6, ¹J = 403, 385 Hz), $30.60 \text{ (C7, } 2J = 13.8 \text{ Hz})$, 31.72 (C4) , 35.82 (C3) , 35.92 (C4) $(C8, \, 3J = 67.8 \, \text{Hz})$, 37.51 $(C5, \, 2J = 14.3 \, \text{Hz})$, 41.24 $(C9)$, 43.36 $(C1)$, 44.49 $(C10, 3J = 64.7 \text{ Hz})$, 70.72 $(C2)$; ¹¹⁹Sn *NMR* $(CDCl₃)$ 6 -1.36; MS *mlz* 318 (M+, 32%), 303 (20), 165 (100), 151 (27), 135 (24), 93 (19), 79 (18), 67 (31), 41 (24). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₆-OSn: C, 49.25; H, 8.27; Sn, 37.44. Found: C, 49.30; H, 8.36; Sn, 37.13.

trans,trans,cis-6-(Trimethylstannyl)-2-decalol (6-OH). trans,cis-6-(Trimethylstannyl)-2-decalone $(1.55 g, 4.90 mmol)$ in 6 mL of anhydrous THF was added dropwise to a solution of potassium **tri-sec-butylborohydride** (5.20 **mL,** 1.0 M in THF, Aldrich) and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at -78 °C. The reaction was quenched with 5 **mL** of HzO, 5 **mL** of 2 N NaOH, and $5 \text{ mL of } 30\% \text{ H}_2\text{O}_2$ at 0 °C . After stirring for 3 h at room temperature, the mixture was extracted with 4 **X** 30 **mL** of hexane. The organic layer was washed with 2 **X** 30 **mL** of saturated aqueous NaC1. Removal of solvent under reduced pressure gave 1.53 g (98%) of a white powder, which by lH NMR was a 92/8 mixture of axial/equatorial alcohols. Recrystallization from pentane of 0.50 g of material gave 0.39 g (76% overall) of pure **axial** alcohol: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ -0.037 (s, 9H (²J = 51.6, 49.2 Hz), Me₃Sn), 0.85-1.85 (m, 16H, ring and OH), 4.07 (m, 1H, CHO); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ -12.00 (Me₃Sn, ${}^{1}J_{\text{SnC}}$ = 305.0, 291.3 Hz), 24.84 (C6, ¹J $=403.6, 386.0 \text{ Hz}$, 27.20 (C4), 30.93 (C7, $\text{°J} = 14.3 \text{ Hz}$), 32.93 $(C3)$, 35.94 $(C8, \, \frac{3}{1} = 67.3 \, \text{Hz}$), 36.52 $(C9)$, 37.98 $(C5, \, \frac{3}{1} = 14.7$ Hz), 40.46 (C1), 45.29 (C10, ${}^{3}J = 65.2$ Hz), 66.91 (C2); ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (CDCb) 6 -1.91; MS *m/z* 318 (M+, 36%), 303 (28), 165 (loo), 151 (26), 135 (21), 93 (14),79 (14),67 (23),41 (15). **Anal.** Calcd for C₁₃H₂₈OSn: C, 49.25; H, 8.27; Sn, 37.44. Found: C, 49.29; H, 7.79; Sn, 37.10.

 $cis. trans. cis-6-(Trimethyl stannyl)-2-decalyl Tosylate (5-$ OTs). The tosylate was prepared according to the standard Tipson¹² procedure. *cis,trans,cis-*6-(Trimethylstannyl)-2-decalol (5-OH, 0.31 g, 1 mmol) was reacted with tosyl chloride (0.37 g, 2 mmol) in 5.20 mL of pyridine for 2 days at about 5 $°C$. The product was recrystallized twice from hexane to give white crystals (0.40 g, 87%, mp 76-77 "C): lH *NMR* (CDCl3) 6 -0.05 **(e,** 9H (2J ⁼51.2, 49.2 Hz) MeaSn), 0.76-1.96 (m, 15H, ring), 2.42 *(8,* 3H, ArCHs), 4.38 (m, lH, CHO), 7.29 (d, 2H, **Ar),** 7.76 (d, 2H, **Ar);** ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ -12.00 (Me₃Sn, ¹J_{SnC} = 307.6, 293.8 Hz), 13.8 Hz), 31.36 (C4), 32.73 (C3), 35.53 (C8, sJ ⁼67.2 *Hz),* 37.14 $(C5, 2J = 13.9 \text{ Hz})$, 39.92 $(C1)$, 41.14 $(C9)$, 43.84 $(C10, 3J = 65.5$ Hz), 81.90 (C2), 127.55, 129.68, 137.74, 144.27 (aromatic); ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (CDCl₃) δ -0.67. 21.60 (ArCH₃), 24.38 (C6, $^{1}J = 398.6$, 381.6 Hz), 30.31 (C7, $^{2}J =$

trans, trans, cis-6-(Trimethylstannyl)-2-decalyl tosylate $(6-OTs)$ was prepared by the Tipson procedure¹² from trans, $trans, cis$ -6-(trimethylstannyl)-2-decalol (6-OH, 0.06 g, 0.2 mmol) to produce fiie, white needles of pure **axial** tosylate **(0.048** g, mp 83-84 °C): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ -0.04 (s, 9H (²J = 51.2, 49.3 Hz), Me&), 0.78-1.85 (m, 15H, ring), 2.41 **(e,** 3H, ArCHs), 4.76 (m, 1H, CHO), 7.30 (d, 2H, Ar). 7.77 (d, 2H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ -12.01 (Me₃Sn, $^{1}J_{\text{SnC}}$ = 306.6, 293.1 Hz), 21.59 (ArCH₃), 24.59 $(C6)$, 27.09 $(\text{C}4)$, 30.63 $(C7, 2J = 14.1 \text{ Hz})$, 30.79 $(C3)$, 35.47 $(C8)$, ${}^{3}J = 67.4$ Hz), 36.46 (C9), 37.60 (C5, ${}^{2}J = 14.6$ Hz), 38.08 (C1), 44.56(ClO,aJ= 65.1Hz),80.17 **(C2),127.59,129.67,134.65,144.25** (aromatic); ^{119}Sn NMR (CDCl₃) δ -1.28.

trans-2-Decalols (7-OH and 8-OH). The mixture of isomeric alcohols (1.38 **g,** Aldrich Rare Chemicals) was separated by flash column chromatography on alumina (225 g) with a mixture of 70% hexane and 30% ethyl acetate **as** the solvent system. trans,tram-2-Decalol @-OH, 0.38 **g,** 28%) was recovered **as** a whitesolidfromtheearlyfractions. **Amixtureofmoetlycis,trans-** 2-decal01 (7-OH) from the later fractions was recrystallized twice from hexane to obtained white crystals of the equatorial alcohol $(0.32 \text{ g}, 23\%)$. Characterization of cis, trans-2-decalol: ¹H NMR (CDCb) **6** 0.75-2.0 (m, 17H, ring and OH), 3.56 (m, lH, CHO); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 26.26, 26.51, 31.96 (C4), 33.23, 33.76, 35.78 (C3), 41.15 (C9), 42.27 (C10),43.20 (Cl), 70.78 ((22); MS *mlz* 154 $(M^+, 1\%)$, 136 (100), 121 (30), 107 (21), 95 (44), 81 (20), 67 (26), 55 (26), 41 (24). Characterization of trans, trans-2-decalol: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.8-1.8 (m, 17H, ring and OH), 4.07 (m, 1H, 33.72 (C3 or C5), 33.81 (C3 or C5), 36.42 (C9), 40.31 (Cl), 43.06 (C10), 66.96 (C2); MS m/z 154 (M⁺, 3%), 136 (100), 121 (29), 107 (24),95 (40),81 (28), 67 **(34),** 55 (33),41 (31). **Anal.** Calcd for CHO); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 26.59 (C6, C7) 27.48 (C4), 32.87 (C8), $C_{10}H_{18}O: C, 77.87; H, 11.76.$ Found: C, 77.96; H, 11.80.

trene2-Decalyl Tosylates (7-OTs and 8-OTs). The decalols were converted to their respective tosylates in the usual manner.¹² The yields were 62% for cis,trans-2-decalyl tosylate (7-OTs, 0.21 g, mp65-66 °C (lit.¹⁸65 °C)) and 56% for *trans*, *trans*-2-decalyl tosylate $(8-OTs, 0.19 g, mp 104 °C (lit.¹⁸ 111 °C)).$ Characterization of cis, trans-decalyl to sylate: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) 6 0.75-1.95 (m, 16H, ring), 2.42 **(e,** 3H, ArCHs), 4.39 **(m,** lH, CHO), 7.30 (d, 2H, Ar), 7.76 (d, 2H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ (C9 or ClO), 41.65 (C9 or ClO), 82.02 (CZ), 127.57,129.70,134.73, 144.29 (aromatic). Characterization of trans, trans-2-decalyl tosylate: ¹H NMR *(CDCl₃)* δ 0.85-1.85 (m, 16H, ring), 2.42 (s, 3H, ArCHs), 4.77 (m, lH, CHO), 7.30 (d, 2H, **Ar),** 7.77 (d, 2H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 21.61 (ArCH₃), 26.33, 26.42, 27.39, 30.77, **33.38,36.37,37.96,42.28,80.26 (C2),127.61,129.68,134.66,144.26** (aromatic). 21.62 (ArCHa), **25.99,26.26,31.61,32.71,32.86,33.41,39.80,41.06**

Kinetic **Rates.** Solvents were prepared according to published procedures.19 Rates of solvolyses were determined by conductance measurements on 25 mL of approximately 10^{-3} M solutions in a conductance cell with Pt electrodes and a capacity of 35 **mL.** The cell was either heated with a Precision H8 bath or cooled with a Techne RB-5 bath to constant temperature. Temperatures at *50* "C or lower were measured with a factory-certified **(NBS)** thermometer (0.1 °C division, $-1-51$ °C). Other temperatures were measured with a Thermoschneider thermometer (0.2 $^{\circ}$ C division, 40-100 °C), which had been calibrated against an NBScertified thermometer. The change in conductance was followed with a **YSI** model 32 conductance meter. The rate constant, k, **was** calculated by LOTUS 1-2-3 regression **analpis** from the **liiear** equation $\ln (n_{\text{inf}} - n_t) = \text{constant} - kt$, in which n_{inf} is the infinity conductance and **n,** is the conductance at time *t,* using data pointa collected for 1-3 half-lives.

Product Studies. The products of solvolysis in perdeuterated 80% ethanol were determined from ^{1}H , ^{13}C , and ^{119}Sn *NMR* analysis of about 0.2 M solutions of the ester in sealed NMR tubes after greater than 10 half-lives. Except for reactions done at room temperature, the sealed tubes were immersed in a water bath at 50 or 75 °C. Progress of the reaction was monitored by 1H NMR. Product yields were calculated from the integration of proton resonances.

Crystal Structure Analysis. A colorless crystal of cis, **tram,cis-6-(trimethylstannyl)-2-decalyl** tosylate (6-OTs) was obtained by slow cooling of a methanol solution. The transparent, prismatic crystal with approximate dimensions of 0.43 **X** 0.34 **X** 0.11 mm **was** mounted on a glass fiber with grease. Data were collected at -120 * 1 OC on an Enraf-Nonius **CAD-4** diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo *Ka* radiation. Cell constanta and an orientation matrix for data collection were obtained from aleast-squares refinement using the setting angles of 25 centered reflections in the range $19.90^{\circ} < 2\theta < 23.80^{\circ}$. The compound has a formula weight of 471.22 and belongs to the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ (no. 14) with $a = 6.802(4)$ Å, $b = 26.723(6)$ Å, $c =$ $12.278(2)$ \AA , $\beta = 104.68(2)$ °, $V = 2159(2)$ \AA ³, $Z = 4$, and a calculated density of 1.450 g/cm3. Diffraction intensities were collected using the $\omega-\theta$ scan technique to a maximum 2 θ of 54.0°. Of the

⁽¹⁸⁾ Hackel, **W.;** Rucker, D. *Annalen* **1963,666,3044.**

⁽¹⁹⁾ Lambert, J. B.; **Wang, G.-t.;** Fhl, *R.* B.; Teramura, D. H. *J.Am. Chem. Sac.* **1987,109,783&7&66. Lung,H.;Bjerrum, J.** *Chem.Ber.* **1981, 64,210-213.**

 6227 reflections that were collected, 4830 were unique $(R_{int} =$ 0.073). The linear absorption coefficient for Mo Ka is 12.9 cm^{-1} . **An** analytical absorption correction was applied that resulted in transmiasion factore of **0.63-0.86.** Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied. The structure was solved by direct methods. Non-hydrogen atoms were refiied anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were independently refined with isotropic thermal parameters. The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement was based on 3274 reflections $(I > 3.00\sigma(I))$ and 354 variable parameters and converged with unweighted and weighted agreement factors of $R = 0.045$ and $R_m = 0.054$. The maximum and minimum peaks on the final difference Fourier map corresponded to 2.57 and -0.97 e-/ \AA ³, respectively. The highest peak in the final difference map was located in the vicinity of tin. *All* calculations were performed *using* the TEXSAN crystallographic software package of Molecular Structure *Corp.* Additional crystallographic details are presented in the supplementary material.²⁰

Supplementary Material Available: Description of the X-ray structure of 6-OTs, including experimental procedures, positional and thermal parameters, temperature factors, bond distances, bond angles, torsional angles, intramolecular contacts, and **ORTEP** representations **(31** pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately following this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

⁽²⁰⁾ The author **has** deposited atomic coordinates for 6-OTs with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. The coordinate8 *can* be obtained,on request, fromthe Director, Cambridge CrystallographicData Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 **lEZ,** UK.